## MINUTES OF 403<sup>rd</sup> (SPECIAL) MEETING OF REGISTRATION COMMITTEE HELD ON 06.06.2019 IN THE CHAMBER OF AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER, ROOM NO. 231, KRISHI BHAWAN, NEW DELHI.

The 403<sup>rd</sup> (Special) Meeting of Registration Committee (RC) was held under the Chairmanship of Dr. S. K. Malhotra, Agriculture Commissioner on 06.06.2019 at 10.00 AM in Room No. 231 Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi. Sh. Rajesh Malik (Member), Plant Protection Advisor, Dte. of PPQ&S, Faridabad; Dr. S. P. Shani [representative of (Member), Drug Controller General of India], Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, New Delhi; Dr. K. K. Sharma (Co-opted member), Project Coordinator, IARI, Pusa, New Delhi and Dr. Sushil K. Khurana (Member Secretary), CIB&RC, attended the meeting. Following officers from the Secretariat of CIB&RC also attended the meeting to present their agenda and advises as and when required/or volunteered to provide the information during the deliberations.

1.	Dr. Sarita Bhalla	Consultant (Pharma)
2.	Dr. Archana Sinha	JD (Chem.)
3.	Ms.Kamlesh Miglani	JD (Chem.)
4.	Sh. Hariom Miglani	Sr. Law Officer
5.	Sh. A. Krishna Reddy	DD(WS)
6.	Sh. Kiran W. Deshkar	DD (E)
7.	Sh. R. B. Sharma	AD (Chem.)
8.	Sh. Avnish Tomar	AD (Chem.)
9.	Dr. Vandana Pandey	AD (PP)

At the outset, Chairman welcomed the members & experts and asked Secretary, CIB&RC to take up the agenda for deliberations. The decisions taken by the RC are as under: -

<u>Agenda</u> item No.	Particulars of Agenda	
1.0	Confirmation of minutes of the 402 <sup>nd</sup> RC meeting of the Registration	
	Committee and review of earlier decisions taken	
	The Minutes of 402 <sup>nd</sup> RC were conformed and RC also reviewed some of	
	its decision taken in earlier meetings:	
	1. The RC approved the grant of registration of Acephate Technical	
	99% which was deliberated in 401 <sup>st</sup> RC vide Agenda item No. 6.13	
	and RC decided to approve the same however, the applicant M/s UPL limited has to submit the detailed documents regarding metabolite methamidophos as per the decision of the RC taken in	
	its 401 <sup>st</sup> meeting vide Agenda item No.6.13 with respect to Acephate formulation 97% w/w DF.	
	<ol> <li>Further the decision of the RC taken in its 401<sup>st</sup> meeting vide Agenda item No. 11.1 shall prevail in place of the decision of the RC taken in its 401<sup>st</sup> meeting at Agenda item No. 3.1 also.</li> </ol>	

3. RC reviewed its earlier decision taken in 399 <sup>th</sup> RC meeting vide	
Agenda item No.9.19 of with respect to validity period of affidavit	
(3 month duration) and decided to withdraw the decision to the	
extent of applicability of validity period. However, rest of the	
content and requirement of affidavit shall remain the same.	

- 4. An agenda may be brought for discussion in the RC meeting for the import permit (RTT) considering the requirement of RTT permit for the Insecticides not listed in the Schedule to the Insecticides Act, 1968.
- 5. The RC also decided to review the decision taken in its 397<sup>th</sup> RC meeting vide agenda item No. 3.8 and decided to simplify the requirements as referred in the above decision. Further RC decided that the registrants shall be required to submit an affidavit giving the details of the export of banned / phased out / restricted with respect to three pesticides viz. Dichlorovos, Trizophos and Phorate. Further, the registrant has to furnish verification report from the state department of Agriculture for the above purpose.

The above requirements shall also be applicable for Captafol 80% Powder and Nicotine Sulfate which were earlier allowed for export only.

- 6. RC recalled its decision take in its 397<sup>th</sup> Meeting vide Agenda item No.9.31 and observed that the matter requires to be deliberated further along with the comments of the stakeholders. RC decided that the matter along with the comments / suggestions of the stakeholders if any may be brought in the next RC for deliberation and appropriate decision. In the mean while the RC shall consider the applications of the stakeholders in different categories on first come first serve basis in accordance with law.
- 7. Regarding extension of validity period in respect of provisional registrations for bio-pesticides RC viewed that the revalidation of certificates ordinarily given extensions as per the policy. However, in stray cases the RC shall consider the extension of appropriate period provided the extension request is received with the prescribed period i.e, 3 months prior to the expiry of provisional registration / extension.
- 8. Regarding issue relating to MRLs fixation RC viewed that henceforth the duly filled MRL proforma should be sent to FSSAI as and when the file is scrutinized by the concerned experts. The matter shall be appropriately brought to the notice of FSSAI through DAC&FW.
- 9. Regarding the requirement of DNA verification of the consent / registration of the source. The RC decided that on the receipt of the application in the Secretariat of CIB&RC a communication to the respective DNA shall be sent simultaneously by the concerned expert nominated by the Secretary, CIB&RC under intimation to Indian Embassy/ Consulate in the respective country ensuring that

	the verification report from the DNA should mandatorily be sent to Secretary CIB&RC looking to the safety concerns which is of paramount importance. Endeavor is also to be made to scrutinize the files by various disciplines expeditiously as per the mandate provided under the Insecticides Act, 1968, RC further desired that transparency should be reflected in the day to day working of the Secretariat of CIB&RC. And thereafter if a situation arises that no response is received from the respective DNA of the country in that situation in order to facilitate the stakeholders the RC decided to obtain an affidavit on NJSP from the authorized person i.e., owner/director/managing partner/proprietor providing the entire details of the source with an undertaking that in case any information is found to be false or incorrect in any material particulars the applicant shall be liable for legal consequences including cancellation of certificate of registration. A condition to the above effect shall be incorporated on certificate of registration. RC may review the above decision as felt expedient from time to time.	
2.0	Status of Certificates/endorsement letters etc.	
3.0	Status of applications under RTT Permit category	
4.0	Status of applications under 9(4) TIM category	
5.0	Status of applications under 9(4) TI category	
6.0	Status of applications under 9(4) FI category	
7.0	Status of applications under 9(3)/9(3b) Pesticides category	
8.0	Status of applications under 9(3) Export category	
9.0 10.0	Status of applications under 9(3) TIM category	
11.0	Status of applications under 9(3) FIM category Status of applications under 9(3) FI category	
12.0	Status of applications under 9(3) TI category Status of applications under 9(3) TI category	
13.0	Status of applications under 9(3) FIM category	
14.0	Status of applications under 9(3b) TIM category	
15.0	Status of applications under Endorsement category	
	Before presenting the status on the above subject, Secretary CIB&RC	
	apprised the RC about the speedy efforts made by the Secretariat towards	
	achieving the maximum disposal of allocated work and which resulted in the issuance of approx. 9500 certificates / endorsement approvals in this	
	calendar year, besides disposal of other scientific and technical issues in	
	spite of several constrains and dealing with large number of Court cases	
	across the country. The RC appreciated the efforts of Secretary CIB&RC	
	and his team for the above achievements and desired the Secretariat should	
	maintain the same momentum in future as well.	
	PC reviewed the status of pending contificates and pending compting of second	
	RC reviewed the status of pending certificates and pending scrutiny of cases received in different categories.	
	1. While reviewing pendency in issuance of certificates even after	
	clearance in RC, it was found that it was either due to delayed	
	response from applicant or due to authentication pending with the	
	experts, RC took a serious view and advised that certificates	
	should be immediately issued within 15 days of RC decision of	
	approval. It was also suggested to nominate two officers SO and	